

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 09/903,701	Applicant(s) TERASAWA ET AL.	
	Examiner FRANCIS NGUYEN	Art Unit 2674	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) FRANCIS NGUYEN. Examiner (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
 (2) FRANK ITALIANO. Applicant's Representative (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 1/21/2003.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 14-16.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: \_\_\_\_\_.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

*Applicant's representative confirmed election of Group IV (claims 14-16) pertaining to class 360 subclass 75, after a restriction proposal was made on 1/15/03 as follows:*  
 Group I claims (claims 1-7) class 345/87  
 Group II claims (claims 8-12) class 361/680  
 Group III claims (claims 13) class 345/204  
 Group IV claims (claims 14-16) class 360/75.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

*[Signature]* 1/21/03  
 Examiner's signature, if required

Art Unit: 2674

***Election/Restrictions***

Group I claims (claims 1-7) pertain to display control of LCD projection display, are classifiable in class 345/87 .

Group II claims (claims 8-12) pertain to a computer system comprising a <sup>housing</sup> ~~pointing~~ device, are classifiable in class 345/~~156~~ <sup>361/680</sup> .

Group III claim ( claim 13) pertains to a computer system comprising a control element adapted to stop an image display, is classifiable in class 345/204.

Group IV claims ( claims 14-16) pertains to a computer system comprising a control element to control motor, head, and head actuator of a recording medium, are classifiable in class 360/75.

Inventions Group I claims , Group II claims, Group III claim, Group IV claims are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions pertain to different functions ( Group I claims has function of display control of LCD projection display Group II claims has function of input device of a computer system, Group III claim has function of controlling an image display, and Group IV claims have function of controlling components of a recording medium.

Art Unit: 2674

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.